

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
EASTERN DIVISION**

ARTHUR J. GALLAGHER & CO.,	)	
	)	Case No.: 1:22-cv-03931
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	<b>DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL</b>
	)	
ALLIANT INSURANCE SERVICES, INC.	)	Hon. Martha M. Pacold
	)	
Defendant.	)	Hon. Jeffrey Cummings
	)	

**PLAINTIFF ARTHUR J. GALLAGHER & CO.’S  
STATEMENT REGARDING PRESERVATION ORDER**

Pursuant to this Court’s August 19, 2022 Minute Entry (Dkt. 38), Plaintiff Arthur J. Gallagher & Co. (“Gallagher”) respectfully submits this Statement Regarding Preservation Order.

Gallagher is aware of its preservation obligations and will act accordingly, but the law does not support a mutual preservation order in this case. As set forth in Gallagher’s Motion for Expedited Discovery and Preservation of Evidence, this Court must consider, among other factors, “whether [a party] can demonstrate that [the other party] will destroy necessary documentation without a preservation order.” *McDaniel v. Loyola Univ. Med. Ctr.*, No. 13-cv-06500, 2014 WL 1775685, at \*2 (N.D. Ill. May 5, 2014). Defendant’s conduct in the *Lockton* case—where it was represented by the same law firm as in this case—demonstrates Defendant is prone to destroying evidence. *See, e.g.*, Dkt. 18, at ¶ 18; *see also* Dkt. 1, at ¶ 74; Dkt. 9, at 8-9; *Mountain W. Series of Lockton Cos., LLC v. Alliant Ins. Servs., Inc.*, No. CV 2019-0226-JTL, 2019 WL 2536104, at \*17 (Del. Ch. June 20, 2019) (“Alliant engaged in extensive efforts to avoid creating an evidentiary record of its activities, which crossed the line on important occasions into the actual destruction of evidence.”); *id.* at \*10 n.6 (finding that “Alliant’s outside attorneys [told] Arkley to instruct

McDaniel to delete documents,” and that it was possible “the crime/fraud exception to the attorney-client privilege” applied to counsel’s communications).

The same is not true of Gallagher. Defendant's contention that Gallagher spoliated evidence in the California litigation is inaccurate—the documents at issue were irrelevant to the litigation and there was certainly never any judicial finding or admission of spoliation. Accordingly, a mutual preservation order is not warranted.

DATED: August 22, 2022 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ *Eli J. Litoff*

Ronald S. Safer  
Kelly M. Warner  
Eli J. Litoff  
Sarah E. Finch  
Matthew A. Blumenreich  
**RILEY SAFER HOLMES & CANCILA LLP**  
70 W. Madison Street, Suite 2900  
Chicago, Illinois 60602  
Phone: 312-471-8700  
Fax: 312-471-8701  
Email: [rsafer@rshc-law.com](mailto:rsafer@rshc-law.com)  
[kwarner@rshc-law.com](mailto:kwarner@rshc-law.com)  
[elitoff@rshc-law.com](mailto:elitoff@rshc-law.com)  
[sfinch@rshc-law.com](mailto:sfinch@rshc-law.com)  
[mblumenreich@rshc-law.com](mailto:mblumenreich@rshc-law.com)

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on August 22, 2022, I filed a copy of the foregoing document using the Court's CM/ECF filing system, which will automatically send notice of the filing to all counsel of record.

/s/ Eli J. Litoff